

Northwest Arm Drive Bridge Long-Term Rehabilitation

> NEBPP Meeting, September 10, 2019 Christopher Dyck, M.Sc., P.Eng. Nova Scotia Transportation and Infrastructre Renewal (NSTIR)



Northwest Arm Drive Bridges

HFX244A & HFX244B

- Built in 1975
- 5 span concrete AASHTO girders ('I' shaped Girders)
- 6 girders per span
- Each span approximately 16.6m long
- 83.2m total length
- Supported on concrete hammerhead piers on spread footings
- Pier height ranges from 6m to 10m tall









HFX244A & HFX244B





EXISTING GIRDER CONDITIONS





EXISTING GIRDER CONDITIONS







EXISTING GIRDER CONDITIONS





EXISTING PIER CONDITION





EXISTING PIER CONDITION







EXISTING DECK & BARRIER









Northwest Arm Drive Bridges HFX244A & HFX244B

Rehabilitation Approach



TRADITIONAL REHABILITATION

- Traditional Method and Typical Longevity:
 - Bearing Repairs / Replacement (15-20 years?)
 - Girder End Repairs (7-10 years?)*
 - Diaphragm Repairs (10- 15 years?)
 - Deck End Repair (20 years?)
 - Joint Replacement (5-10 years?)*
- Depends on Multitude of Factors Both During Construction and Afterwards.
- *governs life of repairs



TRADITIONAL REHABILITATION

- 5-10 years maximum before rehabilitation required again.
- Additional traffic delays
- Additional expenditure
- Poor Performance



PROJECT GOALS

- Long Lasting Rehabilitation
- Cost Effective
- Addresses all Concerns with Bridge Condition
- Minimize Traffic Disruption
- Prevent Future Water Damage





NEW BRIDGE DESIGN MEASURES FOR LONGEVITY

- Integral or Semi-Integral Abutments
- Continuous Girders and deck
- Improved materials and construction practices





REHABILITATION APPROACH

- Trial a new approach to rehabilitating concrete bridges that have multiple simple spans and expansion joints
- Eliminate all joints in bridge through engineering design
- Integrate all piers with the bridge girders and deck
- Convert abutments to semi-integral
- First application of this approach in Nova Scotia





FROM THIS

TO THIS





NOVA SCOTIA

FROM THIS



TO THIS



PIER INTEGRATION & SEMI-INTEGRAL ABUTMENTS

- Eliminates need to jack and support girders during rehab
- Eliminates the need to replace existing bridge bearings
- Reduces quantity of girder repairs
- Eliminates LEAKING JOINTS
- Improves surface smoothness



PIER INTEGRATION & SEMI-INTEGRAL ABUTMENTS

- Will lead to a longer lasting repair (perhaps 40 years + with minimal maintenance)
- Minimal increase in cost





Northwest Arm Drive Bridges HFX244A & HFX244B

Analysis



- Analysis Scope
 - To assess the feasibility of joining (integrating) the pier cap with the girders to form a fully continuous bridge
 - 16.3 m becomes 83 m+
 - Temperature effects become very important
 - To determine the load effects on all structures in the bridge as well as their resistances upon integration



• Finite Element (FE) Model: Simple vs Continuous Span





- Finite Element (FE) Models:
 - Beam and shell models in SFrame
 - Simple span model
 - Uncracked properties, Dead Loads
 - Continuous span model
 - Uncracked properties, SLS Cracking check
 - Continuous span model
 - Cracked properties over pier, Full assessment of load effects
 - Pier and footing models shell models
 - Capture settlement effects and new shear and bending effects
- Tangent girders with curved deck



• Finite Element (FE) Model:





• Finite Element (FE) Model: Concrete Dead Load (Continuous)





• Finite Element (FE) Model: Live Load Truck





• Finite Element (FE) Model: Transverse Wind Load Bending





• Finite Element (FE) Model: Forced Settlement of Piers on Different Soils







Northwest Arm Drive Bridges HFX244A & HFX244B

Tendering



Tendering

- Major effort was put into defining the scope of the work and detailing it:
 - 23 detailed drawings
 - Full procedures for all repairs
 - Extents and boundaries for all key repairs
 - First application, so contractors not familiar with new approach



Tendering

• Example of Girder End Repair level of detail:

HFX 244A - SOUTHBOUND BRIDGE GIRDER REPAIRS											
GIRDER END	EXTENT OF REPAIR 'L' (mm)	REPAIR TYPE	DETAIL	GIRDER END	EXTENT OF REPAIR 'L' (mm)	REPAIR TYPE	DETAIL	GIRDER END	EXTENT OF REPAIR 'L' (mm)	REPAIR TYPE	DETAIL
A1	1500	4	4/S23	C4	500	2	2/S23	E1	1700	2	2/S23
A2	300	3	3/S23	C5	700	2	2/S23	E2	800	1	1/S23
A3	N/A			C6	300	1	1/523	E3	600	1	1/523
A4	N/A			C7	N/A			E4	1000	1	1/S23
A5	N/A			CB	N/A			E 5	1800	1	1/S23
A6	N/A			C9	N/A			E6	300	1	1/S23
B1	500	1	1/S23	C10	N/A			E7	N/A		
B2	N/A			C11	N/A			E8	N/A		
В3	1000	1	1/S23	C12	N/A			E9	N/A		
В4	1200	1	1/S23	D1	3100	2	2/S23	E 10	N/A		
B5	2100	1	1/S23	D2	1000	2	2/\$23	E11	N/A		
B6	N/A			D3	800	1	1/S23	E12	N/A		
B7	600	1	1/S23	D4	800	1	1/S23	F1	800	3	3/S23
B8	N/A			D5	900	1	1/S23	F2	1100	4	4/S23
B9	N/A			D6	N/A			F3	500	3	3/S23
B10	N/A			D7	1400	2	2/523	F4	N/A		
B11	N/A			DB	N/A			F5	N/A		
B12	N/A			D9	300	1	1/523	F6	N/A		
C1	1400	1	1/S23	D10	N/A				CODUD-		
C2	N/A			D11	N/A			LEGEND: N/A - NO GIRDER END REPAIRS REQUIRED			
	1				1						



Tendering

• Example of Girder End Repairs outside integration







Northwest Arm Drive Bridges HFX244A & HFX244B

Construction



Crossovers





Concrete Removal









Concrete Removal







Concrete Removal







Barrier Refacing









Barrier Refacing







Barrier Refacing







Deck Repairs









Crack Injection











AT NORTHBOUND PIER 'C' & NORTHBOUND/SOUTHBOUND PIER 'E'















































































Girder Repairs









Girder Repairs











Girder Repairs







Northwest Arm Drive Bridges HFX244A & HFX244B

Finished Condition Comparison December 2018



Girder Repairs + Wrapping

From This:







From This:

Pier Repairs







Semi-Integral Abutments

From This:







Barrier Repairs

From This:









Resurfacing

From This:







So why not use this everywhere?

- This procedure is broadly applicable
- Applications will need to be carefully chosen
 - Traffic maintained in workzone?
 - Continuous conversion does not favour lane-by-lane construction
 - Design effort is more extensive and complex



Summary - Life Cycle Cost

- Low bid was Cdn\$2.1Million (US\$1.6Million) for both bridges
- Significant life cycle cost savings
- Significantly less impact on the public over the remaining life of the structure







